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2. Brian Sack: “The best measure of the stance of monetary policy is the 2-year Treasury yield.”

3. The zero lower bound is not a substantial constraint on monetary policy if the central bank can affect longer-term interest rates:
   - Gürkaynak, Sack, and Swanson (2005):
     60–90% of the response of 2- to 10-year Treasury yields to FOMC announcements is due to statement, not funds rate
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- Compute the sensitivity of interest rates of various maturities to macroeconomic news in normal times (1993–2006)
- And compare it to the sensitivity of those yields to news when the ZLB may have been a constraint.
- Provides an **econometric test** whether a yield was constrained
- And a **quantitative measure of severity** of constraint.

The level of yields alone is not a good measure of ZLB constraint:
- No way to measure severity or statistical significance —e.g., is a 50 bp 2-year Treasury yield constrained or not?
- Crowding out, fiscal multiplier determined by *response* of yields to fiscal policy, not *level* of yields
- Effective lower bound may be $\gg 0$, e.g. 50bp in the UK
Monetary Policy Rates in U.S., U.K., Germany
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Measure sensitivity of a given yield (or exchange rate) to news in normal times using a high-frequency regression:

\[ \Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta X_t + \varepsilon_t \]

- Regression is at daily frequency.
- \( \Delta y_t \) denotes one-day change in given yield on date \( t \).
- \( X_t \) is a vector of surprises in macroeconomic data releases (GDP, CPI, unemployment, etc.) on date \( t \).
- \( \varepsilon_t \) denotes effects of other news and other factors on yields.

Surprise component of data release: \( x_t - E_{t-1} x_t \).

Market expectation of macroeconomic data releases measured by Money Market Services, Bloomberg surveys.
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Time-varying sensitivity version:

\[ \Delta y_t = \alpha^i + \delta^i \beta X_t + \varepsilon_t \]

where \( \delta^i \) scalar, \( i \in 1993, 1994, \ldots, 2012 \).

- Assumption: *relative* responses \( \beta \) constant over time
- Estimate \( \delta^i, \beta \) by nonlinear least squares
- Normalize \( \delta^i \) so that average \( \delta^i \) from 1993–2006 is 1
### Nonlinear Regression Results for $\beta$, 1993–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6-month UK gilt</th>
<th>2-year UK gilt</th>
<th>10-year UK gilt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK Average Earnings</td>
<td>2.28 (5.73)</td>
<td>2.90 (5.79)</td>
<td>0.71 (1.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK GDP (advance)</td>
<td>0.69 (1.39)</td>
<td>3.17 (3.44)</td>
<td>1.21 (2.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Manufact. Prod.</td>
<td>0.42 (1.14)</td>
<td>1.10 (3.87)</td>
<td>0.60 (1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK PPI</td>
<td>1.00 (2.98)</td>
<td>1.40 (2.48)</td>
<td>1.28 (2.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Retail Sales</td>
<td>0.92 (2.94)</td>
<td>1.69 (4.96)</td>
<td>0.70 (1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK RPIX</td>
<td>1.48 (5.20)</td>
<td>2.23 (4.33)</td>
<td>1.71 (4.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Unemployment</td>
<td>$-0.23$ (−0.80)</td>
<td>$-1.29$ (−2.76)</td>
<td>$-0.16$ (−0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Capacity Util.</td>
<td>0.29 (1.02)</td>
<td>1.51 (3.32)</td>
<td>0.90 (1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Core CPI</td>
<td>0.62 (1.71)</td>
<td>0.67 (1.86)</td>
<td>0.88 (2.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US GDP (advance)</td>
<td>$-0.68$ (−1.70)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.92)</td>
<td>$-0.82$ (−0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Initial Claims</td>
<td>$-0.08$ (−0.61)</td>
<td>$-0.63$ (−3.79)</td>
<td>$-0.64$ (−3.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US ISM Manufacturing</td>
<td>1.04 (3.98)</td>
<td>1.57 (5.27)</td>
<td>2.52 (5.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Nonfarm Payrolls</td>
<td>0.47 (1.81)</td>
<td>1.58 (3.58)</td>
<td>1.60 (3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Core PPI</td>
<td>0.31 (1.40)</td>
<td>0.77 (2.19)</td>
<td>0.56 (1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Ret. Sales ex. autos</td>
<td>0.58 (2.56)</td>
<td>0.96 (2.28)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Unempl. rate</td>
<td>0.27 (0.66)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.67)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Observations
- 2592
- 2708
- 2708

$H_0 : \beta = 0, p$-value
- $< 10^{-13}$
- $< 10^{-16}$
- $< 10^{-15}$
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Summary of Results

- Exchange rates largely unaffected by the zero lower bound
- German bunds largely unaffected by zero bound until late 2012
- UK gilts behave in a constrained manner in 2009 and 2012, but largely unconstrained from 2010 to late 2011
Discussion

1. Implications for the fiscal multiplier
2. Puzzling lack of gilt yield sensitivity in 2004–06
3. Private-sector expectations of UK monetary policy
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Probability of sterling Libor < 75bp in 4 quarters, from options:
Conclusions

What we do:
- **Test** whether ZLB is a significant constraint on yields, ex. rates
- Measure the **degree** to which yields, ex. rates are constrained

What we find:
- Exchange rates unaffected by the zero lower bound
- German bunds unaffected by the zero bound until late 2012
- UK gilts constrained in 2009, 2012, but largely unconstrained in 2010–11

What we conclude:
- Effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policy in Germany likely close to normal until late 2012
- Effectiveness of monetary and fiscal policy in UK likely close to normal in 2010–11 (but not 2009 or 2012)
Time-Varying Sensitivity, 10-year UK Indexed Gilt
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(b) Sensitivity Coefficient $\delta^T$ for 2-year Gilt and Fitted Values
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- Feasible interest rate path:
  - Symmetric response
  - Positive economic surprise
  - Negative economic surprise

- Shadow interest rate path
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- Positive economic surprise
- Negative economic surprise
- Feasible interest rate path
- Shadow interest rate path

Symmetric response
Macro Data Surprises Pre- and Post-2008

(a) Nonfarm Payrolls Surprises, 1990-2007

(b) Nonfarm Payrolls Surprises, 2008-2012
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\[ q_t = -(i_t - i_t^*) + E_t (\pi_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1}^*) + E_t q_{t+1} + \psi_t. \]

Solving forward gives:

\[ q_t = E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ -(i_{t+j} - i_{t+j}^*) + (\pi_{t+j+1} - \pi_{t+j+1}^*) + \psi_{t+j} \right] + \bar{q}. \]